

## Background

### *Antiquities Act*

- The Antiquities Act gives authority to the President to designate monuments on federal lands that contain objects of historic, cultural or scientific interest in “*the smallest area compatible* with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected”.
- Since 1995, there have been 20 monuments established exceeding 100,000 acres

### *Legal Authority*

- Courts have consistently upheld the President’s wide discretion in designating monuments.
- The President should have the authority to rescind a monument, especially when it was designated on faulty legal foundations (ex: is not the smallest area compatible with protecting the objects of significance).
- Many Presidents have modified the boundaries of an existing monument, and this activity has been generally upheld by the courts.

### *Implications of Monument Designations*

- The existing federal land designated as a National Monument retains the character and ownership of the land after designation
- For example, a monument designated on National Park Service (NPS) land remains NPS land after the designation and continues to be managed by NPS.
- As such, there are monuments on land operated by the NPS, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), the Fish and Wildlife Service, the Forest Service, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- After a monument is designated, the overriding land management goal becomes to protect the objects described in the proclamation designating the monument.
- This is especially problematic on BLM lands, which are otherwise managed under a multi-use philosophy.
- Designation of a monument restricts or outright prohibits activities or uses that are not “consistent” with the protection of the objects.
- This can include leasing, mining, timber harvesting, grazing, use of motorized transportation, and the construction of infrastructure.
- In recently designated marine monuments, commercial fishing is prohibited.

### *Abuse of the Antiquities Act*

- President Obama unilaterally designated more areas of land and water (over [265 million](#)) than any previous President.
- This was often done over the opposition of states, counties and local leaders.
- The designations of the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument (GSENM) in 1996 and the Bears Ears National Monument (BENM) in 2016 represent the book-ends of modern Antiquities Act overreach.
- President Clinton’s designation of GSENM marked the first time a monument was designated on BLM land, and was called the “mother of all land grabs” [by](#) Senator Hatch, at 1.7 million acres.

- Garfield county in Utah has stated that GSENM [hurt](#) the county by causing a decrease in personal and per capita income, a drop in school enrollment, and an exodus of some residents.
- The monument locked away access to low-sulfur coal in the Kaiparowitz region as well as oil. Senator Hatch stated at the time that the coal reserves were valued at over \$[1 trillion](#).
- In 2016, President Obama designated the 1.3 million acre BENM over significant opposition from Utah local elected officials, the state legislature, the Governor, and the Congressional delegation.

### Talking Points

This country has many significant objects of cultural, historical, and scientific value that should be protected for the benefit and enjoyment of the public.

But that shouldn't come at the expense of valuable economic activity that provides tangible benefits through increased wages and reduced costs of goods for families and workers.

That's why when the Antiquities Act was passed in 1906, the Act said that when designating a monument, the President should choose a boundary that is the smallest area necessary to protect the objects of significance.

Especially over the past 20 years, the designation of monuments have locked up millions of acres of economically productive land and waters.

The onerous restrictions resulting from monument designations have taken energy development, timber harvesting, grazing, the construction of infrastructure, and even commercial fishing off the table on federal lands and waters.

This has hurt families and workers in the counties and local areas in or near the monuments, counties that in many cases are some of the most impoverished in the country.

Monuments should only be designated in the absolute smallest geographic area necessary for the protection of important resources.

The local communities most directly impacted by the monument deserve to have a voice in what happens to the lands they rely on the most.

I have heard from states and local leaders that in some cases the designations of monuments have resulted in lost jobs, reduced wages, and residents moving away.

That's why I am asking for a review of all the monuments designated in the last 20 years, to see what changes can be made and to actually give states and local communities a say in this process.